

Standard Guide for Testing Coating Powders and Powder Coatings¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 3451; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers the selection and use of procedures for testing coating powders and powder coatings. The test methods included are listed in Table 1. Where more than one test method is listed for the same characteristic, no attempt is made to indicate superiority of one method over another. Selection of the methods to be followed must be governed by experience and the requirements in each individual case, together with agreement between the purchaser and the seller.

1.2 This guide also refers to methods developed specifically for the coating powder industry by the Powder Coating Institute, PCI, and the International Organization for Standards, ISO.

1.3 This guide describes the testing of coating powders as applied by electrostatic spray, fluidized bed, or any other applicable method.

1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- B 117 Practice for Operating Salt Spray (Fog) Testing Apparatus²
- D 522 Test Method for Mandrel Bend Test of Attached Organic Coatings³
- D 523 Test Method for Specular Gloss³
- D 609 Practice for Preparation of Cold-Rolled Steel Panels for Testing Paint, Varnish, Conversion Coatings, and Related Coating Products³
- D 610 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Rusting on Painted Steel Surfaces $\!\!\!^4$
- D 658 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Organic Coatings by Air Blast Abrasive⁵

- ² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 03.02.
- ³ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 06.01.
- ⁴ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 06.02.

- D 660 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Checking of Exterior Paints³
- D 661 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Cracking of Exterior Paints³
- D 662 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Erosion of Exterior Paints³
- D 714 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Blistering of Paints³
- D 772 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Flaking (Scaling) of Exterior Paints³
- D 822 Practice for Conducting Tests on Paint and Related Coatings and Materials Using Filtered Open-Flame Carbon-Arc Exposure Apparatus³
- D 870 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings Using Water Immersion³
- D 968 Practice for Testing Abrasion Resistance of Organic Coatings by Falling Abrasive³
- D 1005 Test Methods for Measurement of Dry-Film Thickness of Organic Coatings Using Micrometers³
- D 1014 Practice for Conducting Exterior Exposure Tests of Paints on Steel³
- D 1186 Test Methods for Nondestructive Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Nonmagnetic Coatings Applied to a Ferrous Base³
- D 1308 Test Method for Effect of Household Chemicals on Clear and Pigmented Organic Finishes⁴
- D 1400 Test Method for Nondestructive Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Nonconductive Coatings Applied to a Nonferrous Metal Base³
- D 1474 Test Methods for Indentation Hardness of Organic Coatings³
- D 1535 Practice for Specifying Color by the Munsel System³
- D 1654 Test Method for Evaluation of Painted or Coated Specimens Subjected to Corrosive Environments³
- D 1729 Practice for Visual Appraisal of Colors and Color Differences of Diffusely Illuminated Opaque Materials³
- D 1730 Practices for Preparation of Aluminum and Aluminum-Alloy Surfaces for Painting⁶
- D 1731 Practices for Preparation of Hot-Dip Aluminum Surfaces for Painting⁶
- D 1732 Practices for Preparation of Magnesium Alloy Surfaces for Painting⁶

Copyright © ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D01 on Paint and Related Coatings, Materials, and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D01.51 on Powder Coatings.

Current edition approved Feb. 10, 2001. Published April 2001. Originally published as D 3451 – 75. Last previous edition D 3451 – 92.

⁵ Discontinued 1996; see 1995 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 06.01.

⁶ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 02.05.

- D 1735 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings Using Water Fog Apparatus³
- D 1895 Test Methods for Apparent Density, Bulk Factor, and Pourability of Plastic Materials⁷
- D 1898 Practice for Sampling of Plastics⁸
- D 1921 Test Method for Particle Size (Sieve Analysis) of Plastic Materials⁷
- D 2091 Test Method for Print Resistance of Lacquers⁴
- D 2092 Guide for Treatment of Zinc-Coated (Galvanized) Steel Surfaces for Painting⁴
- D 2201 Practice for Preparation of Zinc-Coated and Zinc-Alloy-Coated Steel Panels for Testing Paint and Related Coating Products³
- D 2244 Test Method for Calculation of Color Differences from Instrumentally Measured Color Coordinates³
- D 2247 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings in 100 % Relative Humidity³
- D 2248 Practice for Detergent Resistance of Organic Finishes³
- D 2369 Test Method for Volatile Content of Coatings³
- D 2454 Practice for Determining the Effects of Overbaking on Organic Coatings³
- D 2616 Test Method for Evaluation of Visual Color Difference with a Gray Scale³
- D 2793 Test Method for Block Resistance of Organic Coatings on Wood Panel Substrates⁴
- D 2794 Test Method for Resistance of Organic Coatings to the Effects of Rapid Deformation (Impact)³
- D 2803 Guide for Testing Filiform Corrosion Resistance of Organic Coatings on Metal³
- D 2967 Test Method for Edge Coverage of Coating $\operatorname{Powders}^4$
- D 3003 Test Method for Pressure Mottling and Blocking Resistance of Organic Coatings on Metal Substrates⁴
- D 3023 Practice for Determination of Resistance of Factory-Applied Coatings on Wood Products to Stains and Reagents⁴
- D 3170 Test Method for Chipping Resistance of Coatings⁴
- D 3214 Test Methods for Coating Powders and their Coatings Used for Electrical Insulation⁴
- D 3260 Test Method for Acid and Motar Resistance of Factory-Applied Clear Coatings on Extruded Aluminum Products⁴
- D 3359 Test Method for Measuring Adhesion by Tape Test³
- D 3363 Test Method for Film Hardness by Pencil Test³
- D 3960 Practice for Determining Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Content of Paints and Related Coatings³
- D 4017 Test Method for Water in Paints and Paint Materials by Karl Fischer Method³
- D 4060 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Organic Coatings by the Taber Abraser⁴
- D 4086 Practice for Visual Evaluation of Metamerism³
- D 4141 Practice for Conducting Accelerated Outdoor Exposure Tests of Coatings³
- D 4145 Test Method for Coating Flexibility of Prepainted Sheet⁴

- D 4214 Test Methods for Evaluating Degree of Chalking of Exterior Paint Films³
- D 4217 Test Method for Gel Time of Thermosetting Coating $\rm Powders^4$
- D 4242 Test Method for Glass Plate Flow for Thermosetting Coating Powders⁴
- D 4585 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings Using Controlled Condensation³
- D 4587 Practice for Conducting Tests on Paint and Related Coatings and Materials Using Fluorescent UV-Condensation Light-and Water-Exposure Apparatus³
- D 5031 Practice for Conducting Tests on Paints and Related Coatings and Materials Using Enclosed Carbon-Arc Exposure Apparatus³
- D 5382 Guide to Evaluation of Optical Properties of Powder Coating 4
- D 5767 Test Method for Instrumental Measurement of Distinctness-of-Image Gloss of Coating Surfaces³
- D 5861 Guide for Significance of Particle Size Measurements of Coating Powders⁴
- D 5965 Test Method for Specific Gravity of Coating $\mathsf{Powders}^4$
- D 6132 Test Method for Nondestructive Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Applied Organic Coatings Over Concrete Using an Ultrasonic Gage³
- D 6441 Test Methods for Measuring the Hiding Powder of Powder Coatings³
- E 11 Specification for Wire-Cloth Sieves for Testing Purposes⁹
- E 284 Terminology of Appearance³
- $E\ 308\ Practice\ for\ Computing\ the\ Colors\ of\ Objects\ By\ Using\ the\ CIE\ System^3$
- E 1164 Practice for Obtaining Spectrophotometric Data for Object-Color Evaluation³
- E 1331 Test Method for Reflectance Factor and Color by Spectrophotometry Using Hemispherical Geometry³
- E 1345 Practice for Reducing the Effect of Variability of Color Measurement by the Use of Multiple Measurements
- E 1347 Test Method for Color and Color-Difference Measurement by Tristimulus (Filter) Colorimetry³
- E 1349 Test Method for Reflectance Factor and Color by Spectrophotometry Using Bidirectional Geometry³
- G 141 Guide for Addressing Variability in Exposure Testing on Nonmetallic Materials¹⁰
- G 147 Practice for Conditioning and Handling of Nonmetallic Materials for Natural and Artificial Weathering Tests¹⁰
- G 151 Practice for Exposing Nonmetallic Materials in Accelerated Test Devices that Use Laboratory Light Sources¹⁰
- G 152 Practice for Operating Open Flame Carbon Arc Light Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials¹⁰
- G 153 Practice for Operating Enclosed Carbon Arc Light Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials¹⁰
- G 154 Practice for Operating Fluorescent Light Apparatus for UV Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials¹⁰

⁷ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 08.01.

⁸ Discontinued 1998; see 1997 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 08.01.

⁹ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 14.02.

¹⁰ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 14.04.

- G 155 Practice for Operating Xenon Arc Light Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials¹⁰
- G 166 Guide for Statistical Analysis of Service Life Data¹⁰ 2.2 *ISO Standards*.¹¹
- ISO 8130-1 Determination of particle size distribution by sieving
- ISO 8130-2 Determination of density by gas comparison pykometer (referee method)
- ISO 8130-3 Determination of density by liquid displacement pykometer
- ISO 8130-4 Calculation of lower explosion limit
- ISO 8130-5 Determination of flow properties of a powder/ air mixture
- ISO 8130-6 Determination of gel time of thermosetting coating powders at a given temperature

¹¹ ISO standards are available from the American National Standard Institute (ANSI), 13th Floor, 11 West 42nd St., New York, NY 10036. Telephone: 212-642-4900. Website: www.ansi.org

- ISO 8130-7 Determination of loss of mass on stoving
- ISO 8130-8 Assessment of the storage stability of thermosetting powders
- ISO 8130-9 Sampling
- ISO 8130-10 Deposition efficiency of coating powders
- ISO 8130-11 Inclined-plane flow test
- ISO 8130-12 Determination of compatibility
- 2.3 PCI Recommended Procedures:¹²
- PCI #1 Accelerated Stability Test Powder Coatings
- PCI #2 Compatibility of Powder Coatings
- PCI #3 Contrast Ratio Powder Coatings
- PCI #4 Density of Powder Coating Materials
- PCI #6 Gel Time Reactivity
- PCI #7 Inclined Plate Flow
- PCI #9 Cured Weight Loss for Thermosetting Coating Powders

¹² The PCI Recommended Procedures are available from the Powder Coating Institute (PCI), 2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 401, Alexandria, VA 22314. Telephone: 703-684-1770. Website: www.powdercoating.org

TABLE 1 List of Test Methods

	Section	ASTM Method	PCI Procedure	ISO
Coating Powder Properties:				
Sampling	6	D 1898		8130-9
Compatibility	9		#2	8130-12
ower explosion limit	10			8130-4
Particle size and distribution	11.2	D 5861		
Aultiple sieve and analysis	11.2.1	D 1921, E 11		8130-1
Accelerated storage stability	12			
Glass vial method	12.2		#1	8130-8
Pourability	13	D 1895		8130-5
Fluidity	14			
Cured weight loss for thermosetting coating powders	15		#9	8130-7
Gel time (stroke cure)	16	D 4217	#6	8130-6
Flow test (incline method)	17	D 4242	#7	8130-11
Specific gravity	18	D 5965	#4	8130-2; 8130-3
Melting point determination	19			
Application Properties:				
Deposition/transfer efficiency of powder coating process	20			8130-10
Powder Coating Properties:				
Abrasion resistance	22			
Air blast abrasion tester	22.2	D 658		
Falling sand method	22.2	D 968		
Taber abraser	22.2	D 4060		
Adhesion	23			
Tape adhesion	23.2	D 3359		
Chemical resistance	24			
Household chemical resistance	24.2	D 1308		
Detergent resistance	24.3	D 2248		
Acid resistance	24.4	D 3260		
Stains or reagents on wood substrates	24.5	D 3023		
Chip resistance	25			
Gravelmeter	25.2	D 3170		
Edge coverage	26.2	D 2967		
Electrical insulation	27.2	D 3214		
Elongation (flexibility)	28			
Conical/cylindrical mandrel	28.2	D 522		
T-Bend	28.2	D 4145		
Film thickness	21.5			
On nonmagnetic metal base		D 1400		
On magnetic metal base		D 1186		
On nonmetal base		D 6132		
Destructive method		D 1005		
Hardness	29			
Pencil	29.2	D 3363		
Knoop Indention	29.3	D 1474		
Impact resistance	30	D 2794		
Molting/blocking resistance	31	D 3003		

🕼 D 3451

TABLE 1 Continued

	Section	ASTM Method	PCI Procedure	ISO
On Metal substrates	31.2	D 3063		
In Wood substrates	31.3	D 2793		
Print resistance	32	D 2091		
Optical properties	33			
Guide To:	33.1	D 5382		
Color pigmented coatings	33.2			
Visual	33.2.2	D 1535		
Instrumental	33.2.3	D 2244, E 308,		
		E 1164, E 1331,		
		E 1345, E 1347,		
		E 1349		
Color difference	33.3			
Visual	33.3.2	D 1535, D 1729,		
		D 2244		
Instrumental	33.3.3	D 2244, E 308,		
		E 1164, E 1331,		
		E 1345, E 1347,		
		E 1349		
Metamerism (visual)	33.4.1	D 4086		
Distinction of image (DOI)	33.5.1	D 5767		
Hiding power/opacity	33.6.2	D 6441	#3	
Gloss	33.7.2	D 523		
Surface profile (orange peel)	33.8.2			
Outdoor exposure (natural)	34	D 1014		
Adhesion	34.2.1	D 3359		
Blistering	34.2.2	D 714		
Chalking	34.2.3	D 4214		
Checking	32.2.4	D 660		
Cracking	34.2.5	D 661		
Rusting	34.2.6	D 610		
Errosion	34.2.7	D 662		
Flaking	34.2.8	D 772		
Gloss	34.2.9	D 523		
Color	34.2.10	D 1729, D 2244,		
		D 4086		
Accelerated artificial weathering	35	D 822, D 4141,		
		D 4587, D 5031,		
		G 141, G 147, G 151,		
		G 152, G 153, G 154,		
		G 155		
Accelerated environmental exposures	36			
Filiform corrosion	36.2.1	D 2803		
Salt spray	36.2.2	B 117		
SCAB corrosion	36.2.3			
Water resistance	36.2.4			
High humidity/100 % humidity	36.2.5.1	D 1735, D 2247		
Condensation	36.2.5.2	D 4585		
Water immersion	36.2.5.3	D 870		

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 *contrast ratio*, *n*—a value related to the hiding powder of a coating.

3.1.1.1 *Discussion*—The ratio of the reflectance of the coating over black and white backgrounds at equal film thickness. In the coatings industry 98 % contrast ratio is by convention characterized as being visually opaque, for hiding power measurement purposes, although it is recognized that visually (just as photometrically) the opacity is actually somewhat less than complete. For the reported hiding power to be significant, the contrast ratio value must be reported at a specific film thickness.

3.1.2 *hiding power*, *n*—the spreading rate of a coating at a specified level of hiding, which is conventionally 0.98 contrast ratio representing photometric "complete hiding".

3.1.2.1 *Discussion*—Practically speaking, hiding power is the extent to which a powder coating masks the color and

pattern of the substrate at a given film thickness.

3.1.3 minimum explosive concentration (MEC), n—the lower point for a range of concentrations of organic particles suspended in air that can be ignited by a sufficient energy source.

3.1.3.1 Discussion—Also referred to as LEL or Lower Explosive Level.

3.1.4 *orange peel*, *n*—the appearance of irregularity of a surface resembling the skin of an orange.

3.1.5 *pourability*, *n*—the ability of a dry coating to flow uniformly or to be continuously poured from a container at a steady rate.

3.1.6 *specific gravity*, *n*—an expression of ratio of the density of a material to that of water at a given temperature and pressure.

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 Many of the following definitions specific to this

guide were taken from the Powder Coating Institute's Publication, "Powder Coating Terms & Definitions", and are indicated as such by the initials, PCI¹³.

3.2.2 *bulk density*, *n*—mass per unit volume in powder form including the air trapped between particles. (PCI)

3.2.3 *coating powder*, *n*—finely divided particles of organic polymer, either thermoplastic or thermosetting, which generally contain pigments, fillers, and additives and which remain finely divided during storage under suitable conditions. (PCI)

3.2.4 *coverage rate*, *n*—the area covered per unit quantity of coating at a specified film thickness, typically expressed in $ft^2/lb./mil$.

3.2.4.1 *Discussion*—The term coverage rate is similar to "spreading rate" as often used in liquid technologies.

3.2.5 *electrostatic deposition*, *n*—technique of moving and charging coating powder so that it is deposited onto a grounded substrate by one of the following methods: **(PCI)**

3.2.5.1 *cloud chamber technique*, *n*—method of moving a charged or uncharged object through a charged or uncharged cloud of coating powder in an enclosed chamber.

3.2.5.2 *fluidized bed technique*, *n*—method of moving a ground objective over or through a charged fluidized coating powder.

3.2.5.3 *spray technique*, *n*—method of spraying and charging coating powder so that it is deposited onto a grounded charged substrate.

3.2.6 *film formation of a coating powder, n*—the forming of a continuous film by melting coating powder particles and coalescing them by the application of energy. (PCI)

3.2.6.1 *Discussion*—For thermosetting materials, a chemical reaction, either condensation or addition, also takes place. For thermoplastic materials, no chemical reaction takes place. Thermoplastic materials flow when heat is applied and develop performance properties when cooled. Flow will re-occur if re-heated. Both thermoset and thermoplastic films have uniformity of color, toughness, and other properties associated with protective and decorative coatings.

3.2.7 *fluidity*, *n*—the ability of a powder to move freely, uniformly, and continuously (somewhat like a liquid) when subjected to certain conditions of pressure, temperature, and velocity of a carrier gas.

3.2.8 gel time, n—the time interval (measured in seconds) required for a coating powder to be transformed from a dry solid to a gel-like state at a given temperature. (PCI)

3.2.9 glass plate flow, (GPF), n—the measurement (in millimetres) of flow-out on an inclined smooth glass surface when powder is in a molten state at a given temperature. (PCI)

3.2.10 *impact fusion*, n—the tendency of finely divided powders to fuse with other particles in the application equipment during the application process. (PCI)

3.2.11 *nonelectrostatic deposition*, *n*—technique of moving coating powder onto a substrate, which may be heated above the melt point of the coating powder material. (**PCI**)

3.2.11.1 *Discussion*—The actual application could be the spray or fluidized bed technique as with electrostatic deposition.

3.2.12 *particle size*, *n*—average diameter of particles having irregular boundaries that can be determined by various test methods. (PCI)

3.2.13 *particle-size distribution*, *n*—arrangement of particle size measurements on a coating powder in groups of specified diameters. (PCI)

3.2.14 *powder coatings*, *n*—coatings that are protective, decorative, or both, formed by the application of a coating powder (3.1.1) to a substrate and fused into a film by the application of heat or radiant energy. (PCI)

3.2.15 *storage stability*, *n*—the ability of coating powders to maintain physical and chemical properties during specific storage conditions. (PCI)

3.2.16 *tribocharging*, *n*—the process of creating a static electric charge on powder particles by friction against a nonconductive material. (PCI)

3.2.17 *volatile content*, *n*—the quantity expressed as weight percent of the coating powder, which is lost under specified conditions of temperature and time. (PCI)

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This guide provides a useful summary to the selection and use of procedures for testing coating powders and powder coatings. It is applicable to both thermoplastic and thermoset coatings, unless indicated otherwise. By design this guide does not purport to address test methods or procedures developed specifically for the functional powder coating market, those coating powders for application to pipe or reinforced steel bars (rebar). Information on current test procedures for pipe and reinforced steel bar coating powders and powder coatings can be obtained through their respective ASTM Subcommittees, A01.05 and D01.48.

4.2 Selection of the methods to be followed and the interpretation of results must be governed by experience and the requirements in each individual case, together with agreement between the purchaser and seller. It should be noted that many of the methods used for characterizing a coating powder, such as gel time (Section 16) and inclined flow (Section 17), are primarily meant for the relative comparison of two coating powders, rather than to give a test value that can be interpreted as good or bad. Interpretation of the test results will depend on the specific application in question and will also often depend on the chemistry of the coating powder used.

5. General Requirements

5.1 Ideally, all tests shall be conducted under the same conditions as to light source, sample age, temperature, and humidity. These conditions may be indicated by the individual test procedure used or agreed upon between the purchaser and seller. In the absence of other guidance, test conditions of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, 50 ± 5 % relative humidity, and a relatively consistent sample (panel) conditioning time, (sample to sample), are recommended.

6. Sampling

¹³ The PCI publication, "Powder Coating Terms & Definitions" is available from the Powder Coating Institute (PCI),

^{6.1} Sample the coating powder in accordance with Practice

D 1898 or ISO 8130-9.

6.2 Prepare specimens as required for the specific tests on the coating.

7. Equipment

7.1 Use the equipment as specified in each test method.

8. Conditions Affecting Coating Powder or Powder Coatings, or Both

8.1 The performance of a coating powder can be affected by damage to container, size of container, storage time, excessive temperature, excessive humidity and temperature fluctuations, which may cause settling, caking, or chemical change.

8.2 The performance of powder coatings may be affected by:

8.2.1 Substrate type, substrate age, substrate condition, and the type, quality, and suitability of the metal treatment or primer used under the powder coating.

8.2.2 Application conditions such as temperature, humidity, voltage, part grounding, and gun to part distance.

COATING POWDER PROPERTIES

9. Compatibility

9.1 The need for compatibility arises when working with coating powders of varying color or chemical composition. Problems such as changes in gloss, surface appearance, physical properties, and color contamination may occur if incompatible powders are mixed. Rather than discover these problems on the production coating line, it is recommended that the compatibility of powders be checked prior to their use.

9.2 Test compatibility of coating powders in accordance with PCI Procedure #2 or ISO 8130-12.

10. Minimum Explosive Concentration (Lower Explosive Level (LEL))

10.1 The minimum explosive concentration (MEC) as defined in 3.1.3 is a value that is critical in the proper design of coating powder application and collection systems. To obtain precise and reliable LEL results, it is best to employ the service of an independent laboratory, which has the special apparatus needed. However, a quick calculation method, as listed below, has been proved in practice to be satisfactory when applied to coating application plants.

10.2 Calculate the MEL (or LEL) of a coating powder in accordance with ISO 8130-4.

11. Particle Size and Distribution

11.1 A coating powder's particle size distribution (P.S.D.) and the resulting median particle size can have a significant affect on the coating powder's application properties and the appearance of the cured powder coating. There is unfortunately, no one optimum P.S.D. or median particle size. The optimum P.S.D. and median particle size for each application will be influenced by the part configuration being coated, the desired film thickness range, the desired film appearance, the powder chemistry, and the application equipment.

11.2 Guide D 5861 references a number of commonly used methods for the measurement of particle size.

11.3 Multiple Sieve Analysis:

11.3.1 Run multiple sieve analysis in accordance with Test Method D 1921 or ISO 8130-1.

11.3.2 Specification E 11 can be used in specifying the required sieves.

12. Accelerated Storage Stability

12.1 For the recommended useful life of a coating powder, the coating powder must be easily fluidized and free-flowing in order to be properly applied. In addition, the coating powder has to melt, flow out, and cure (thermoset coating powders), to form a powder coating possessing the aesthetic and protective properties desired. In the case of a thermoset coating powder, an accelerated storage stability test can allow a powder user to predict the physical and chemical stability of a coating powder in order to determine its long term usability as a function of time and temperature. The physical stability of a thermoplastic coating powder can also be predicted.

12.2 Run accelerated storage stability in accordance with PCI Procedure #1 or ISO 8130-8.

13. Pourability

13.1 Test for pourability in accordance with Test Method D 1895.

14. Fluidity

14.1 A coating powder's transport and spraying characteristics are, among other things, highly dependent on it's fluidity, defined as the ability to move freely, uniformly, and continuously (somewhat like a liquid), when subjected to certain conditions of pressure, temperature, and velocity of a carrier gas (air).

14.2 Test fluidity in accordance with ISO 8130-5.

15. Cured Weight Loss for Thermosetting Coating Powders

15.1 In comparison to liquid coatings, coating powders will have a relatively small cured weight loss as a result of the cure cycle. Typically, the cured weight loss from a coating powder will consist of water and low molecular weight organic compounds or blocking agents, or both. The cured weight loss may be requested in order to properly determine the exhaust requirements of a bake oven or to comply with state or federal reporting guidelines. At this time, there is not a recognized ASTM standard test method for determining the cured weight loss for a coating powder; however, the following procedure has proven satisfactory in the field (refer also to PCI Procedure #9 or ISO 8130-7). Please note that this procedure may or may not determine the cured weight percent VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds). The exact identity of the materials lost during the cure cycle would have to be determined by other means to identify what weight percent is organic and inorganic (that is, water-see Note 1). Also, it would depend on the identity of any organic compounds as to whether they are exempt or nonexempt VOC under Federal EPA Guidelines. (Refer to Practice D 3960 and local air quality regulations.)

NOTE 1—Test Method D 4017 is one method for determining the percent water in an uncured coating powder. In some cases, the cured weight percent VOC may be estimated by subtracting the weight percent

water (see Test Method D 4017) from the total percent cured weight loss.

15.2 Apparatus:

15.2.1 Analytical Balance, sensitive to 0.1 mg.

15.2.2 Small Aluminum Weighing Dishes. Approximate size: 50 mm (bottom diameter) by 15 mm (height).

15.2.3 Laboratory Circulating Bake Oven, capable of holding temperatures from 100°C to 250°C at \pm 2°C.

15.2.4 Desiccator.

15.3 Procedure:

15.3.1 Weigh three aluminum dishes to 0.1 mg. Record this weight as "A".

15.3.2 To each aluminum dish add 0.5 \pm 0.01g of coating powder. By gentle tilting, spread the coating powder uniformly over the bottom of the dishes, then weigh to 0.1 mg. Record the weight of the dish and powder as "B".

Note 2-The recommended sample size of 0.5 g was chosen, in part, based on sample size guidelines in Test Method D 2369, but also on past experience that showed a 0.5-g sample to yield both realistic results and a fair level of repeatability in a given laboratory. A 0.5-g sample of coating powder should give a cured film thickness of about 6 mils.

15.3.3 Heat the dishes and contents in an oven for 20 min at $193^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ (see Note 3). It is recommended that the samples be placed on/in a preconditioned heat sink in the oven to insure good heat transfer and relatively constant temperature exposure during the heat cycle.

NOTE 3-The standard bake temperature for this procedure is 20 min at 193°C. There may however, be specific situations where a different bake schedule might be more representative, such as with low cure powders that cure at temperatures less than 150°C. A deviation from the standard bake must be agreed upon between the purchaser and seller. For test to test repeatability, it is recommended that the same oven be used for all cured weight loss testing.

15.3.4 Cool the dishes and contents in a desiccator and weigh to 0.1mg. Record this weight as "C".

15.4 Calculations:

15.4.1 Calculate the percent cured weight loss for each trial sample as follows:

percent cured weight loss =
$$\frac{100(B-C)}{(B-A)}$$
 (1)

where:

A = weight of dish. g.

B = weight of coating powder sample and dish, g,

C = weight of dish and contents after heating 20 min at 193°C (or other time/temperature), g.

15.4.2 Calculate the average percent cured weight loss for the three trials.

15.5 Report:

15.5.1 Report the sample name, cure cycle, (time/ temperature) used, and the average percent cured weight loss.

16. Gel Time or Stroke Cure (for Thermosetting Powder Only)

16.1 For a powder coating film to exhibit optimum performance properties, the coating powder must be cured properly. A coating powder's gel time, along with knowledge of the coating powder chemistry being used, can be used to predict whether it will achieve adequate cure under a given set of baking conditions, time or temperature, or both. This test is

most useful to the coating powder formulator.

16.2 Test gel time in accordance with Test Method D 4217, PCI Procedure #6, or ISO 8130-6.

17. Flow Test (Incline Method)

17.1 In the uncured state, the required flow or leveling properties of a coating powder depend on the intended cured powder coating application. For a very smooth cured film surface, a coating powder with relatively high flow may be required. On the other hand, if one needs to coat a part with sharp edges, a coating powder with relatively short flow may be required. The inclined flow test provides one means to compare the uncured flow characteristics of two powders. The chemistry of the coating powder can also influence cured film smoothness. This test is most useful to the coating powder formulator.

17.2 Run the inclined flow test in accordance with Test Method D 4242, PCI Procedure #7, or ISO 8130-11.

18. Specific Gravity (Density) of Coating Powders

18.1 A coating powder's specific gravity (see 3.1.6 for definition) is directly proportional to its coverage (spreading) rate, but independent of particle size and other properties. A coating powder is applied by volume (mils thick by square feet), but often is purchased by weight (pounds). Knowing the specific gravity allows for the expected coverage (mils/ ft^2) from a given weight of coating powder to be calculated.

18.2 Determine the specific gravity (density) of a coating powder in accordance with Test Method D 5965, PCI Procedure #4, ISO 8130-2, or ISO 8130-3.

19. Melting Point Determination

19.1 Being able to determine the melting point of a coating powder, or the temperature at which it becomes tacky (its tack temperature), can be useful for a number of reasons such as: (1)establishing a maximum storage temperature; (2) establishing the maximum temperature a part can be at as it enters the application booth; and (3) comparing the potential for impact fusion (see 3.2.10) of one powder versus another. This test is most useful to the coating powder formulator.

NOTE 4-The impact fusion resistance of a coating powder is dependent on many factors, not just its melt temperature. Some coating powder chemistries can be inherently resistant to impact fusion even though their tack temperature might be relatively low.

19.2 Apparatus:

19.2.1 Gradient Heat Bar, whose temperature capability includes the range 40°C to 100°C.

19.2.2 Calibrating Test Substances.

	Melting Point, °C
Azobenzene	68 ± 1
Naphthalene	80 ± 0.5
Benzoic acid	122 ± 1

19.2.3 Paint brush, stiff bristle, 12.7-mm.

19.3 Procedure:

19.3.1 Calibrate the apparatus as follows. Allow 60 min for the warm up on the heating bar. Sprinkle a calibrating substance having the closest melting point to that of the powder on the heating bar. Observe the sharp division between solid and liquid. Place the pointer between these two divisions. Slide the reading device to the melting point of the calibrating substance.

19.3.2 Sprinkle the specimen over the heating bar in a uniform manner. Observe the specimen after 1 to 2 min. Brush the material towards the lower temperature and note the location where particles of powder adhere to the bar when brushed lightly. Place the pointer at this point. Read the temperature and report in degrees Celsius.

NOTE 5—Powder will fuse and "set" on the hot bar. Remove the powder quickly and thoroughly before the setting occurs. Do not use abrasives to clean the bar.

APPLICATION PROPERTIES

20. Determining the Relative Deposition Efficiency of Coating Powders on a Moving Target

20.1 Deposition (or transfer) efficiency can be defined as the ratio of coating powder deposited, compared with the amount directed at the part to be coated, often expressed as a percent deposited or transferred. Field experience has shown that, in general, the higher the first pass deposition efficiency of a virgin coating powder sample, the better its production application properties will be. It would therefore be beneficial to have a laboratory test method that allows one to compare the first pass deposition efficiency of two or more coating powder samples. The following test method indicated has been found suitable for this purpose. The results are most meaningful when a control powder, one whose field application characteristics are known, is included in the testing. The comparison of results are only valid for coating powders tested in the same laboratory at about the same time, not results between different laboratories.

20.2 Test the relative deposition efficiency in accordance with ISO 8130-10.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF POWDER COATINGS

21. Panel Preparation

21.1 *Treatment of the Substrate*—Clean and prepare test panels in accordance with one of the following standard test methods or recommended practices or as agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller: Practice D 609, Practices D 1730, D 1731, D 1732, Guide D 2092, and Practice D 2201.

21.2 *Priming and Sealing*—In many instances, the use of a primer, primer surfacer or sealer is required. The type, application, and treatment of any undercoat system should be agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller.

21.3 Application of Coating Powders—The coatings may be applied by fluidized bed, electrostatic spray, or other methods.

21.4 Curing of Coating Powders:

21.4.1 Fuse or bake the coating powder to a uniform film according to the established time schedule and temperature, and then age the panels as agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller before running tests.

21.4.2 The powder coating should be over-baked to determine the time/temperature effect on the physical and chemical properties in accordance with Practice D 2454.

21.5 *Measurement of Film Thickness*—Since the properties of a powder coating can vary considerably with its thickness, it

is important to know the film thickness. Measure the film thickness in accordance with Test Methods D 1005, D 1186, D 1400, or D 6132.

22. Abrasion Resistance

22.1 Many powder coating applications require that the coating's surface resist degradation (scratching, etc.) as other objects are rubbed against it. There are many types of abrasion tests available and often one, more than the others, will best simulate the abrasion resistance required in a particular end use application.

22.2 Test abrasion resistance in accordance with Test Method D 658 (Air Blast Abrasion), Practice D 968 (Falling Sand Method), or Test Method D 4060 (Taber Abraser).

23. Adhesion

23.1 A powder coating of a specified film thickness and over a specified substrate as agreed to between the purchaser and the seller is subjected to an adhesion test to determine the degree of attachment the coating has to the substrate. Adhesion tests can also be used for testing the degree of attachment between a primer (powder coating or other coating type) and a second coat of coating powder (that is, intercoat adhesion).

23.2 Determine the adhesion of the powder coating to the specified substrate or first coat (primer, etc.) in accordance with Test Method D 3359 (Tape Adhesion).

24. Chemical Resistance

24.1 Coating systems frequently come into contact with various chemicals that may have an effect on the properties of the system. Failure, when it occurs, is usually in the form of discoloration, changes in gloss, blistering, softening, swelling, or loss of adhesion.

24.2 *Household Chemical Resistance*—Determine the effect of chemicals in accordance with Test Method D 1308.

24.3 *Detergent Resistance*—Determine the resistance to failure when immersed in a detergent solution in accordance with Practice D 2248.

24.4 Acid Resistance (Extruded Aluminum Products)— Determine the resistance to acid in accordance with Test Method D 3260.

24.5 *Stain and Reagents (Wood substrates)*—Determine the resistance to stains and other reagents on wood substrates in accordance with Practice D 3023.

25. Chip Resistance

25.1 In many end uses, the ability of a powder coating to withstand sudden impact from stones, gravel, etc., without being loosened from the substrate is important.

25.2 Determine chip resistance (gravelomter) in accordance with Test Method D 3170.

26. Edge Coverage

26.1 Edge coverage, the ability of a coating powder to flow over, build, and adhere to sharp corners, angles, and edges can be important in field applications where a corrosive atmosphere is likely.

26.2 The relative edge coverage of two powders can be compared using Test Method D 2967.

27. Electrical Insulation

27.1 Organic coating powders can be used to provide electrical insulation to a metal substrate.

27.2 Test electrical insulation properties such as electric strength, insulation resistance, and dissipative factor in accordance with Test Methods D 3214.

28. Elongation (Flexibility)

28.1 An elongation test may give an indication of the flexibility of a powder coating. It can also show whether there is any change in flexibility due to the aging of the film. Elongation is dependent on substrate type and film thickness, therefore, these test parameters should be agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller. For powder coatings applied to coil strip or blanks, the standard elongation test used is the T-bend.

28.2 Test elongation (flexibility) in accordance with Test Method D 522 (Conical and Cylindrical Mandrel) or Test Method D 4145 (T-Bend).

29. Hardness

29.1 A powder coating's surface hardness can be an indication of its ability to resist abrasion or scratching from contact with other objects. The most widely recognized test for hardness is the pencil test. It should be noted however, that pencil hardness is not the most reproducible test and interpreting the results is somewhat subjective. The results are highly dependent on such factors as; the pencil type used, the pressure exerted by the operator, and the care taken in preparing the pencil lead. Some industries (that is, automotive) have also adopted the use of Knoop Indention Hardness. There is no consistent correlation between the two tests.

29.2 Test pencil hardness in accordance with Test Method D 3363.

29.3 Test Knoop Indention Hardness in accordance with Test Methods D 1474 (Method A).

30. Impact Resistance

30.1 A powder coating may be subject to sudden impact in certain end uses. Impact resistance is dependent on the substrate type and its preparation, the thickness of the substrate, and the film thickness of the powder coating. Therefore, these test parameters should be agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller. Impact resistance has also been found to be a good indication of whether a coating powder has been adequately cured.

30.2 Determine impact resistance in accordance with Test Method D 2794.

31. Mottling/Blocking Resistance

31.1 These tests are directed at powder coatings applied to metal coil or blanks, and wood substrates. They cover determination of the pressure mottling and sticking, or blocking resistance of powder coatings applied to metal coil and blanks, or wood as they are stored prior to the final fabrication operation.

31.2 Test resistance to mottling/blocking on metal substrates in accordance with Test Method D 3003.

31.3 Test resistance to blocking on wood substrates in

accordance with Test Method D 2793.

32. Print Resistance

32.1 A print test may be used to determine the degree of thermoplasticity or solvent retention of a film and hence whether the product can be safely stacked or packaged and, in the case of thermoplastic film, at what temperature the film prints or mars. The print test can determine the degree of marring due to pressure.

32.2 Determine the imprinting and thermoplasticity of a powder coating film in accordance with Test Method D 2091.

33. Optical Properties

33.1 The term optical properties of coatings refers to those properties associated with the interaction of visible light with a coated surface. Common terms associated with optical properties are defined in Guide D 5382. Key test methods or practices are as follows:

33.2 Color - Pigmented Coatings:

33.2.1 The colors of opaque objects such as coated surfaces may be specified by visual or instrumental color values. In either case, it is important that the viewing and measurement conditions under which the color is to be evaluated are agreed upon between the purchaser and seller. Viewing conditions include the light source, the illuminating and viewing conditions (such as illuminate at a 45° angle and view along the sample normal), and the background against which the sample is evaluated. Instrumental conditions include the type of instrument, the measurement geometry (such as 45/0), and the illuminant/observer combination (such as D65/10° Observer).

33.2.2 For visual evaluation, determine the color of a coated surface in accordance with Practice D 1729. If need be, color can also be visually evaluated using Practice D 1535, which determines the Munsell Coordinates of the color of a coated surface.

33.2.3 Determine (calculate) the color of a coated surface instrumentally in accordance with Test Methods E 1331, E 1347 or E 1349, and Practices E 308, E 1164, and E 1345. A spectrophotometer, the preferred instrument, measures reflectance as a function of wavelength over the visible spectrum. Spectrophotometers offer a choice of two standard observers, specified by the CIE, 2° and 10° . The former is recommended when the colored surface is small, subtending no more than 4° at the eye. The 10° observer is for larger specimens, and is the preferred observer whenever possible. Large area view is also recommended whenever possible.

33.3 Color Difference - Pigmented Coatings:

33.3.1 The color difference between two homogeneously colored opaque films can be determined by visual evaluation or instrumental means, or both. In either case, it is important that the viewing and measurement conditions under which color difference is to be evaluated are agreed upon between the purchaser and seller (see 33.2.1and 33.2.3).

33.3.2 Determine color differences visually in accordance with Practice D 1729 or D 2616.

33.3.3 Calculate the instrumental color differences in accordance with Test Methods D 2244. The color difference equation used should be agreed upon between purchaser and seller. Instrumental color differences are most accurate and correlate best to the visual perception of color when the standard and trial specimens are alike with respect to characteristics such as their physical state (metal or paper), gloss, and film appearance (textured or smooth). Instrumental measurements of the standard and trial should be made in accordance with Test Methods E 1331, E 1347 or E 1349, and Practices E 308, E 1164, and E 1345.

33.4 Metamerism - Pigmented Coatings:

33.4.1 Metamerism, as defined in Terminology E 284, can be determined by Practice D 4086.

33.5 Distinctness-of-Image Gloss (DOI):

33.5.1 Distinctness-of-Image Gloss, as defined in Terminology E 284, was a test first developed by the automotive industry to describe observed differences found among very high gloss paint films. A mirror has a very high DOI, and a matte paint film has a low DOI. The DOI of a paint film can be described in accordance with Test Method D 5767.

33.6 Hiding Power (Opacity):

33.6.1 A powder coating must be opaque to hide variations in substrate color. It is also important to know at what film thickness the coating attains opacity so that it may be applied at the minimum film thickness necessary for adequate hiding.

33.6.2 Determine a powder coating's hiding power in accordance with PCI Procedure #3 or Test Methods D 6441.

33.7 Specular Gloss:

33.7.1 Specular gloss, as defined in Terminology E 284, is the perceived surface brightness associated with the luminous specular (regular) reflection of a surface. The illuminating/ viewing angle must be agreed upon between the purchaser and the supplier. It is recommended that a 20° angle be used for high gloss surfaces, a 60° angle for medium gloss surfaces, and an 85° angle for low gloss surfaces. In general, the lower the measuring angle, the greater the influence surface characteristics (such as orange peel or haze) have on the gloss reading.

33.7.2 Determine the specular gloss of a powder coating surface in accordance with Test Method D 523.

33.8 Surface Profile:

33.8.1 The surface profile of a cured powder coating (any irregularities or waviness in appearance) is often a specified requirement of the coating powder. The surface profile requirement can range from a very smooth finish, as for an automotive clear coating powder, to a fine, grainy texture, as is typically seen on computer or communication equipment. Surface profiles in between very smooth and textured are typically described by their degree of orange peel (see Terminology E 284). A powder coatings surface profile is primarily controlled by the coating powder formulation; however, many other factors such as substrate condition, film thickness, curing conditions, and application conditions can also influence or change the surface profile of a given powder coating. Slight differences in the degree of orange peel are often difficult to quantify, and the evaluation can be somewhat subjective. In general, as the gloss of the powder coating is lowered, orange peel or other surface irregularities will become less noticeable.

33.8.2 There are several methods available to help quantify differences in the surface profile (orange peel) of cured powder coatings. One method requires a subjective visual comparison to a set of ten "Visual Smoothness Panels" that are available

from the Powder Coating Institute¹². A second method uses a portable instrument that when scanned across the surface acts like the human eye and detects differences in reflectance (light \rightarrow dark areas), then transforms them into a numerical number relating to orange peel. A good correlation between this type of instrument and visual evaluation has been reported. A more sophisticated surface profile instrument is also available that actually measures the wavelength and amplitude of the surface waviness (orange peel). Numerical ratings derived from this type of instrument have proven difficult to correlate with subjective visual evaluation.

34. Outdoor Exposures (Natural)

34.1 While the accelerated tests given elsewhere in this guide are intended to enable prediction of probable performance, actual outdoor exposures should be made on powder coatings intended for exterior use. Usage of paint systems is so varied that no one set of conditions (length or place of exposure) can be given in this guide to cover all situations. These conditions, as well as the type of substrate, substrate preparation, etc., should be agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller. However, it is suggested that unless otherwise agreed upon, panels for outdoor exposure should be prepared in accordance with Section 21 of this guide.

34.2 Practice D 1014 is a useful reference when conducting outdoor exposures. Many properties of powder coating films should be evaluated periodically throughout the outdoor exposure period. These properties may be evaluated as follows:

- 34.2.1 Adhesion-Test Method D 3359,
- 34.2.2 Blistering-Test Method D 714,
- 34.2.3 Chalking-Test Method D 4214,
- 34.2.4 Checking-Test Method D 660,
- 34.2.5 Cracking-Test Method D 661,
- 34.2.6 Rusting-Test Method D 610,
- 34.2.7 Erosion-Test Method D 662,
- 34.2.8 Flaking-Test Method D 772,
- 34.2.9 Gloss—Test Method D 523,
- 34.2.10 Color-Test Methods D 1729, D 2244, D 4086,
- E 308, E 1164, E 1331, E 1345, E 1347, E 1349, and 34.2.11 Filiform—Test Method D 2803.

35. Accelerated Artificial Weathering

35.1 The intention of the accelerated weathering test is to cause the degradation of coating films to occur much faster than weathering in various field conditions. The degradation of a coating exposed outdoors is influenced not only by light, but also moisture and elevated temperatures. These three influences can react synergistically to yield degradation that is different from degradation caused by one influence. Artificial radiation (light) apparatus, that typically also include heat and moisture (in the form of either water spray, condensation, immersion, or humidity) in the test cycle, can produce more rapid failure of films than natural sunlight, but not necessarily the same type of failure. It is important to point out that the relation between hours of artificial light exposure and outdoor exposure varies not only with the type of accelerated test device, its intensity and other parameters, but with the material to be tested itself. Thus, it is not possible to assign an acceleration factor to a specific type of accelerated test device. Also, it is recommended that comparisons in artificial light sources (outdoor exposures also) be made with materials having similar resin vehicles, and include a standard of known durability, whenever possible.

35.2 Practice G 151 describes the performance requirements for any device used to conduct laboratory accelerated weathering tests. Practice G 147 describes procedures for conditioning and handling of specimens that are being tested in laboratory accelerated or outdoor exposures. Guide G 141 provides information on sources of variability in weathering tests, and suggests procedures that can be used to cope with this variability. It is important to document the conditions for a particular test. The most common weathering devices can be characterized by their light source.

35.2.1 Enclosed Carbon Arc, Practice D 5031, G 151, G 153—Enclosed carbon arc was first used as a solar simulator back in 1918. The spectral power distribution of light from an enclosed carbon-arc is significantly different from the solar radiation and the energy produced from light sources used in other accelerated weathering devices. The rate and type of degradation produced in exposure to enclosed carbon-arc can be much different from that produced in exposure to the outdoor environment or other types of laboratory light sources.

35.2.2 Filtered Open Flame Carbon Arc, Practices D 822, G 151, G 152—The filtered open flame carbon-arc was an improvement over the enclosed carbon-arc. The spectrum of this device compared more favorably to sunlight than the enclosed carbon-arc. However, it emits a significant amount of energy below the solar cutoff into the UV-C portion of the spectrum. These short wavelengths can cause unrealistic degradation when compared to natural exposures.

35.2.3 Xenon Arc, Practices G 151, G 155—Xenon arc lamps use filters to reduce unwanted radiation. Practice G 155 specifies the spectral power distribution of xenon arcs, which gives good simulation of the full spectrum of the solar radiation for weathering. Xenon arc exposure produces temperature differences between dark and light color that is similar to those in outdoor exposure. However, at unrealistically high irradiance levels, high amounts of near infrared energy can cause unrealistic temperature differences in differently colored materials. The xenon arc source decays as the lamp ages, but it can be controlled by adjusting the lamp wattage.

35.2.4 Fluorescent UV Devices, Practices D 4587, G 151, G 154-Fluorescent UV lamps used as the light sources in these devices do not replicate the entire sunlight spectrum. However, some fluorescent UV lamps replicate solar UV wavelengths that cause most of the damage to many durable coatings. Practice G 154 specifies the spectral distribution for three different fluorescent UV lamps. The fluorescent UVA-340 lamps are recommended for testing materials intended for outdoor exposure. Fluorescent UVA lamps with a peak emission at 351 nm are recommended for testing materials exposed behind window glass. Practice G 154 also specifies the spectral distribution for fluorescent UVB lamps with peak emission at 313 nm. These lamps emit significant amounts of UVB radiation and produce very fast, but often unrealistic degradation reactions. Because fluorescent UV lamps lack the visible and near infrared radiation present in solar radiation, they do

not produce the temperature differences between light and dark colors found in outdoor exposure.

35.3 To summarize, conduct accelerated weathering testing on powder coating finishes in accordance with Practices D 822, D 4141, D 4587, D 5031, G 141, G 147, G 151, G 152, G 153, G 154 or G 155, or a method agreed upon between the purchaser and seller. As with outdoor exposure, many properties of powder coatings should be evaluated periodically throughout the accelerated weathering period. These properties may be evaluated following the test methods listed in 34.2 of this guide.

36. Accelerated Environmental Exposure

36.1 As with accelerated weathering, the intention of an accelerated environmental exposure test is to cause the degradation of powder coating films to occur much faster than would occur under various actual service (in the field) environments. It is important to choose a test that is believed to, by it's design, to best simulate the appropriate environmental conditions present in service and can therefore be relied upon to predict the long term service of a product. This is true whether the test is an established test, like salt spray (Practice B 117), or a new test developed to simulate an environmental service condition unique to one given industry. For example, the appliance industry has developed accelerated tests to simulate the long term service exposure of range (stove) components to heat cycles or food soils, or both.

36.2 A list of some established accelerated environmental exposure tests follows. Many film properties may need to be evaluated periodically throughout the exposure period. In addition to tests specified in each test method, refer to 34.2 of this guide for possible tests to run.

36.2.1 *Filiform Corrosion*—Filiform corrosion is a type of corrosion that occurs under coatings on metal substrates and is characterized by a definite thread-like structure and directional growth often initiating from sharp edges or exposed metal. Determine the susceptibility of a powder coating to filiform corrosion by Guide D 2803.

36.2.2 Salt Spray—Salt spray testing of coatings may be helpful in determining their resistance to failure in service under conditions of high humidity and salt concentrations. Under the accelerated conditions of the laboratory test, the temperature, pH, concentration of salt, and other physical parameters can be controlled. The selection of substrate, the coating system, the manner in which the coating is scribed, the location or position of the panels within the cabinet, the length of the test, the inspection of panels (time intervals and tests to be run), and the method of reporting results must be agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller. Test for salt spray in accordance with Practice B 117. Test Method D 1654 can be a useful guide in evaluating corrosion results if no other guidance is given.

36.2.3 Simulated Corrosion Atmospheric Breakdown (SCAB)—SCAB is a relatively new cyclic corrosion test (first developed by the automotive industry) and is thought to offer advantages over salt spray (Practice B 117) as a test to predict the service life of coatings in corrosive environments, particularly over galvanized substrates. There are many variations of the SCAB test available so it is important that the test

conditions, etc, are agreed upon between the purchaser and the seller. A typical SCAB corrosion test will consist of exposing tests panels to repeated cycles of dry heat (60°C), freezing temperatures (–23°C), immersion in 5 % NaCl solution plus room temperature drying time, and a high temperature/high humidity atmosphere (\approx 60°C at 85 % relative humidity). Some SCAB test methods will also include an accelerating weathering test (see Section 36 of this guide).¹⁴

36.2.4 *Water Resistance*—Testing of coating systems with water is helpful in determining their resistance to failure under conditions of high humidity or water immersions. Failure in water tests is usually evidenced by blistering, dulling, softening, or loss of adhesion which does not disappear or recover

upon evaporation of the absorbed water.

36.2.4.1 Determine the resistance to failure under conditions of water fog or 100 % humidity in accordance with Practices D 1735 or D 2247, respectively.

36.2.4.2 Determine the resistance to failure under conditions of controlled condensation in accordance with Practice D 4585.

36.2.4.3 Determine the resistance to failure under conditions of water immersion in accordance with Practice D 870. This test is best suited for coating systems that will actually be soaked in water during service.

37. Keywords

37.1 accelerated testing, cured weight loss; coating powders; gel time; optical properties; particle size distribution; plate flow; powder coatings; transfer efficiency

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).

¹⁴ Four recognized SCAB tests are General Motor's GM9511P and GM9540P, Ford's FLTM BI-123-01, and Chrysler's LP463 PB52-01.